



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_21851
Title of the Manuscript:	Refining the Submean Inequality for Subharmonic Functions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The Reference # 3 is not complete: insert the journal, appearance date, etc. 2. Use the same template for each Reference: comma after the title, etc. 3. Page 2, Definition 2.1: remove the argument (z) of the function f, i.e. write f instead of f (z). 4 The same remark as the above for the whole article.	Thank you for the comments 1. The journal and appearance date for reference number 3 has been inserted. 2. The references have been changed accordingly. 3.The definition 2.1 has been corrected as suggested. 4. All the required corrections have been effected.
Optional/General comments		