



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14714
Title of the Manuscript:	Software Quality Improvement Using Force-field Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I can see that the authors are proud of their work. I wish I could see some good reasons for a positive recommendation. Again, the paper is still too long. actually it is now longer. More than half can be placed in an appendix for details. The authors argued that force-field method and tool can be used to improve software quality by applying it to each of the 11 factors, measured them individually and made the bold statement that software quality is now improved as a whole. They did not discuss any relevancy to one another. They let the readers wonder how all of these relate to the three major concerns of software development: cost, time and performance. To cite an example of the poor work, note that the authors mentioned the paper of Jones (1994) and the report of Verner (2006) of a decade ago in support of background information leading to the study. How about Standish group report and many others more current studies on software failure? The literature review was poor. I see very little impact to readership.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	California State University, USA