



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_17075
Title of the Manuscript:	Abuse of Unified Modeling Language Diagrams in Software Development
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In figure 1, "UserActor" and "Booking clerk" are not placed appropriate location. (page 3); In page 3, right-hand column, "4.0 Diagram " -> "4.0 Class Diagram"; In page 4, left-hand column, line 4, "todescribe" -> "to describe"? and line 15, "ofstatic" -> "of static"? and line 3 from the bottom, "classattributes/data" -> "class attributes/data"? In page 5, figure 3, the behaviour description should be math the arrow? And so on...	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Nothing	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper introduces the correct using of UML concepts and diagrams. It classifies the UML diagrams into two types: structure and behaviour, following by describing the using of each diagram. According the title "Abusing of Unified Modelling Language Diagrams in Software Development ", it seems to be lack of convinced examples for abusing UML diagrams. Furthermore, the manuscript does not study using/abusing UML diagrams deeply enough.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shihan Yang
Department, University & Country	Guangxi University for Nationalities, China