SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMCS_18505**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A New Layer of Service Oriented Architecture to Solve Versioning Problem**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Abstract section need modification as very less content is highlighted related with versioning issue. Add some more literature for its justification.** **2. Elaborate the working of your proposed method in terms of flow chart.** **3. Highlight the limitation of your proposed model.** **4. Related work is not justified properly & it is too long to understand make it short.** **5. Conclusion section is also too long discuss only the thing that you conclude.**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Sharp the image as it is not clearly visible. 2. Mention the full of UDDI, VRESCO, WSIF etc in footer section. 3. Routing table and its value discussed is not visible.	
Optional/General comments	1. Keyword should not be more than three. 2. Update reference section properly.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Shrikant Upadhyay***
Department, University & Country	***Electronics & Communication Engg. Deptt. Cambridge Institute of Technology, Ranchi, India***