



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMCS_20376
Title of the Manuscript:	Recognition of Typewritten Characters Using Hidden Markov Models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is written very carefully in terms of its content. There are only a partial formal drawbacks, see below. Abstract of the paper is sufficiently reliable, the article is logically divided into chapters and subchapters. A list of references is fully sufficient. The paper will be in publishable form after removing some formal mistakes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Why are keywords in brackets? On the other hand, some important formulas would have their number in the brackets. The sources used would be under tables and figure (for example: "Source: Own research"). The first letters of words in the titles of chapters and subchapters are alternately large and small.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Diana Bílková</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Statistics and Probability, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic</i>