SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJMCS_21851**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Refining the Submean Inequality for Subharmonic Functions**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Need to review the style of the references**	
Minor REVISION comments	Page 107, the line hence ... is subharmonic is not well	
Optional/General comments	Paper is well written	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Ruben Dario Ortiz Ortiz***
Department, University & Country	***Department of mathematics, University of Cartagena, Colombia***