



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_16439
Title of the Manuscript:	V ₄ - Magic Labelings of Some Wheel Related Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The majority of the theorems in the paper are left without proof. This should not be the case. The author(s) should provide proof or at least a proof idea for every theorem in the text. If such a proof is obvious, or if the result follows from previous ones, so should be stated. For those that are obvious, the author(s) should consider renaming them appropriately – proposition, corollary, etc. If some of these theorems are proven elsewhere, so it should be stated and a reference provided. This is an excellent manuscript, but should not be published until every theorem has a proof or a reference to such proof elsewhere in the literature.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper explores graph labelling. More precisely it is concerned with labelling of the graph edges with elements of an Abelian group, such that the vertex sums of the labels form a constant map. Constructions showing how to obtain such labelling for different types of graphs (wheels, helms, flowers, etc.) as well as non-existence results are provided. The paper is very well written, both technically and grammatically. Although the list of references is very short, the author(s) seem to be aware of the recent relevant literature.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Canada