SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_15452**
Title of the Manuscript:	**WSN: Lifetime Maximization of Rumor Routing Protocol with Optimization scheme and Bandwidth Evaluation**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**a) At many points lack of clarity in writing is seen and needs to be corrected. There are many grammatical errors.** **b) Line 102: It is written source node is placed at coordinates (0,0) and sink node at (100,100) as shown in Figure 2 but Figure 2 does not correspond to this description.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Flow sheet 1 should be enlarged to make it readable. If possible, author should give more explanation for why their work is better when compared with others.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**India**