



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_16934
Title of the Manuscript:	LONGEST WAITING TIME IN THE COUPON COLLECTOR'S PROBLEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author should cite the references using the BJMCS reference style and make sure all the references are all cited in the paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author(s) have done well. They address the question about the longest of these waiting times. In particular, we evaluate the moments of the longest waiting time. The paper is well written and easy to understand by others who are not expert in this subject area. Also, the paper is appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field. The paper is acceptable if author(s) can improve the technical quality of the paper and arrange the references according to BJMCS format.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fadugba S.E
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ekiti State University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria