SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14339**
Title of the Manuscript:	**IMPROVING METHOD OF EVALUATING SEMANTIC FILTERING FOR HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION IN AN ADAPTIVE COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The main body of the paper (Chapters 2-4) should be expanded so that they cover the real complex topic. I would also suggest the author to try to use a larger number of participants for a more accurate result of the discussed ASF-based method of evaluation.	
Optional/General comments	The topic is a very interesting one. It may have a good impact to improving computer-assisted learning and achieving a better performance of the human interaction with computers.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Tache Jurubescu**
Department, University & Country	**Ministry of Defense, Bucharest, România**