



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMCS_16121
Title of the Manuscript:	An Opinion Predictor for Products using recurrent Neural Networks
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract need to be rewritten. Related work review not enough and need to be related with author's work Screen shot in section 3 is so small, need to explain the results. How testing and trainind done? Platform? Section 4 and 6 are poor written.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Title need to be more precise Grammar and English need to be checked Format of subtitle need to be checked For section 3, better to include flow chart. Equation format. please check	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Malaysia