



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15619
Title of the Manuscript:	Social Learning under Uncertainty Based on Dempster-Shafer Approach for Minimizing True Error of Machine Learning
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This article is mainly to proposed a new method of social learning based on D-S theory. The method is feasible but the algorithm is not introduced clearly. The paper must be thoroughly revised to address the following major issues: 1. The paper is poorly written, there are some format problems of the tables and the language is sub-standard. 2. The proposed method is introduced by several steps, it is not clearly for the proposed method, I suggest the author that a flow diagram is necessary and the proposed method should be describe in details. 3. This paper is lack of analysis, in section 4, the author just list some experiment data, I can't find any analysis based on the experiment result. How can you improve the efficiency of your new method? 4. The discussion part is too short to express the author's main idea, and the references need to be appended.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	China