SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14868**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A short note on weak estimation of Sharp function**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Change the beginning of the introduction in another words, they are the same as in the paper Using the Sharp Operator for edge detection and nonlinear diffusion of the authors M. K. Ahmad, S. Didas, J. Iqbal, Dec 15 2009** **The paper is not written in the style of the journal** **No references should be cited in the abstract**	
Minor REVISION comments	References are not in the style	
Optional/General comments	Why they put x in the Definition 3.1	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ana Magnolia Marin Ramirez**
Department, University & Country	**Mathematics Department, Cartagena University, Colombia**