



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14339
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVING METHOD OF EVALUATING SEMANTIC FILTERING FOR HUMAN COMPUTER INTERACTION IN AN ADAPTIVE COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although the idea is ok, the analysis of the problem is not adequate. It needs to rewrite the paper in a proper way.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Figure 2 is not readable. It should be redrawn in a comprehensible and engineering form	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Sentences are too long. For example, the sentence starting from line 25 till the end of line 29, is not comprehensible. Few typing and grammatical errors should be corrected; • The sentence starting at line 45, • The word "techniques" in 52 should be singular.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Said Ghoniemy
Department, University & Country	Computer Engineering Department, Taf University, Saudi Arabia