



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_9086
Title of the Manuscript:	ON CURVATURES OF LORENTZIAN CONCIRCULAR STRUCTURE MANIFOLDS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) Page 2↓ 11, paracompact should be paracontact. (2) Page 2↑ 8, You must explain the notion $\Gamma(T M$). (3)Page 3, You have to explain the reason for the equality (2.5). (4) You must explain the meanings of	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	$\tilde{C}(\xi, X) \cdot P, P(\xi, X)S$ and $P(\xi, X) \cdot \tilde{C}$. The methods used are standard and the main results and their proofs are a minor modification of some previous paper. Also the example is used by A.A. Shaikh in his previous paper. Moreover, I think that the paper is of limited interest.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India