



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15452
Title of the Manuscript:	WSN: Lifetime Maximization of Rumor Routing Protocol with Optimization scheme and Bandwidth Evaluation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Authors use ant colony optimization (ACO) in rumor routing protocol to optimize the route search and helps in establishing a complete route by minimizes the probability of the loop route generated by routing scheme. It is an interesting issue for discussion. Authors also compare the results to that of the non-optimize route of rumor routing protocol. 2.It is very difficult to understand what are the organization of the manuscript, such that the role of the QPSK is not explained. 3.If it play the modulation scheme, however, the function of the QPSK is not presented in Figure 1.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1.The written skill in English has some space can be improved. 2.The editorial needs take a lot time to pay the attention. For example, what is the mean of the expression of equation (9)?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. All of the applied variable symbols needs a definition.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Taiwan