



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14673
Title of the Manuscript:	Detecting Plagiarism in Arabic E-Learning Using Text mining
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. It is necessary when you compare with other work to mention the performance of your work from the point of the time response and the time needed to detect the plagiarism. The author response was: The time response and the time needed to detect the plagiarism depend on the hard ware you work on, the software you use and the internet speed at this time. But I still disagree with his explanation, where he can make standard test reg. or environment from the hardware point of view. At least he is able to make the performance measurements theoretically based on his own algorithms, and using any machine for measuring both his proposal and the compared systems. But it is it not major so I agree for skipping this point	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Saudi Arabia