SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research	
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BJMMR/2644	
Title of the Manuscript:	Gene Expression Profiling Identified High-mobility Group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2) as Being Frequently Upregulated in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma.	

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline]

• This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

Compulsory DEVICION	Reviewer's comment 1. This study lacked a strong rationale to explain why	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 HMGA2 and other candidates were selected for validation, since they neither were on the top of the profiling list nor had the most significance in ESCC as noticed. Given some known markers, such as DKK1, which have been reported to be of importance in ESCC, the authors should include one or two of these markers as the positive controls to assess their candidates in parallel. These results will support the efficacy of the models and methods that were used in this study. There was no information available for the clinical samples that were used in this study. It is unclear how the authors analyzed the IHC data. Figure 2 is not enough to support the conclusion drawn in the manuscript. A valid statistical analysis should be performed. 	
Minor REVISION comments	There are no figure legends	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer