



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJMMR_3208
Title of the Manuscript:	The Necessity of Randomized Clinical Trials

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should better separate the various types of interventions : new drugs, monitoring systems, interventions, etc – obviously the needs are different The authors should also present the limits of RCTs (exclusion criteria, delays to start the intervention in some cases, patient selection related to the need of informed consent, etc)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting, provocative article, but of course not realistic. It is a bit the counterpart of our article stating the opposite (ref 3). There are many instances where RCT cannot be conducted (monitoring ECG, or monitoring arterial pressure in shock states, ventricular defibrillation in cardiac arrest, etc). If a surgeon wants to decrease the size of his incision, or go for a horizontal rather than a vertical incision, he does not need to perform a RCT !	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jean-Louis Vincent
Department, University & Country	Dept of Intensive Care, University of Brussels, Belgium