

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Mon, Apr 8, 2013 at 1:37 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for evaluation of revised paper, reference number: 2013 BJMMR 3208

Dear Colleague,

The authors have adequately addressed our comments and the paper presents a more balanced argument. They presented their revisions in a clear and comprehensive manner. The paper still reads as biased in favor of RCTs, with a more dismissive discussion of the advantages of Observational studies, however as this is being submitted as an opinion piece, I do not think this is a critical issue. I think that under the current version the paper is worth to be published.

Regards

Jacques Demotes

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jacques Demotes Mainard</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>INSERM / ECRIN, Francia</b>

**Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity.**