



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | <u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | 2013_BJMMR_5696 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Antigen specific IgG4 in patients with gastrointestinal complainments |
| Type of the Article | Research Paper |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>In Introduction; the scope and justification of the work done should be clearly described.</p> <p>The statistical method used in the author's study should be clarified.</p> <p>Discussion should be improved and revised. It is not contain sufficient refences. The language is some poor and should be revised.</p> <p>According to general guideline for authors, Research Papers should follow the structure of Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion, Conclusion, Acknowledgements, Competing Interests, Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable), and References plus figures and/or tables.). <i>In text, there was no</i> Authors' Contributions, Consent (where applicable), Ethical approval (where applicable).</p> <p>In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. In Introduction, in line 37 (2,3,4,5,6,7,8) should be corrected as [2-8]</p> <p>References should be checked out (not appropriate to journal text rules). Note: List the first six authors followed by et al.</p> | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | The language is some poor and should be revised. For example: In Abstract, Aims: to find the antigen specific etc. Text should be checked out for writing mistakes. | |
| Optional/General comments | The authors studied Antigen specific IgG4 in patients with gastrointestinal complainments . Manuscript needs some corrections in order to improve the content. | |

Note: Anonymous Reviewer