



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS: 2012 BJMMR 2821</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Changes in Some Testicular Biometric Parameters and Testicular Function in Cadmium Chloride Administered Wistar Rats</b>

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper <b>(if any)</b>	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I still have some doubts about the quality of the manuscript, and now, my main complains will be about the discussion. It is so limited, even though the authors added some information about the effect of cadmium in other areas. In the discussion the authors have the opportunity to make other researches see the importance of their findings and what is the meaning of their results. It would be of academic value to increase this section.	

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**