



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_12648
Title of the Manuscript:	PRF and Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite with Collagen Combination in Treatment of Periapical Lesion : A Novel Approach
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It should be emphasized that mentioned case report is very interesting and it has been written very well. Authors should declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper. I suggest extending the follow-up period to 12 months. In my opinion the follow-up period of 6 months is too short. The best solution is to treat about 10 patients in this way and than publish the valuable clinical study. You can also try to publish this paper as a pilot study (you need 3-5 patients). I recommend a major reorganization of the study design	No conflict of interest has been added. We are planning to publish part-2 of this paper so that a new clinical study can be done and follow up period will be more.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If there any ethical issue, please clarify	An ethical approval was obtained from institution's ethical committee to carry out surgical procedure.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		