



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_12648
Title of the Manuscript:	PRF and Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite with Collagen Combination in Treatment of Periapical Lesion : A Novel Approach
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article is well written The following comments have to be revised Abstract 1- Change presentation of case to case presentation 2- The case presentation is not related to the technique used in the study: the author have to mention the technique used in the case Discussion: The following paragraph have to moved to the case presentation not in the discussion In present case, there was an increase in radio-opacity immediately, due to the compaction of the bone graft into the defect. In the first month there was reduction in volume of the material due to initiation of resorption of the bone graft. In the third month there was evidence of bone regeneration, but not complete, due to partial resorption of the graft. In the sixth month bone regeneration was complete because of the combined effect of graft and PRF. In this case the graft did not produce any adverse reactions. Wound 133 healing and primary closure of the surgical site were good. Radiographic evaluation revealed favorable outcomes regarding bone regeneration and repair of periapical tissues.	Headings are changed. Case presentation and technique used in the study are discussed separately in the abstract now Mentioned paragraph were moved under case presentation heading



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the clinical photos and the x-ray should have mark for the right and left side	Clinical photos and radiograph were marked.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		