

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Wed, Jan 22, 2014 at 10:33 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2013\_BJMMR\_8070

The submitted manuscript was largely improved. However, some queries have not been properly addressed.

Other comments:

- 1.) Explanation concerning selection of doses is necessary. Why did you used selected does (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg) not 20, 50, 75 mg/kg or 1000 mg/kg?
- 2.) All the limitations of the study and its clinical implications should be presented in details in the Discussion.
- 3.) The list of references should be uniformed. Present version does not pass criteria and instruction for authors of BJMMR (see references: 9, 10, 14, 18, 19, 20, 26, 41).
- 4.) Some references should be combined in the text, e.g., (6, 11, 12) instead of (6), (11), (12). Moreover, 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg instead of 50 mg, 100 mg and 200 mg/kg (line 139) as well as 25°C instead 25 °C, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> not H2O2.

After such editorial changes the manuscript could be publish in BJMMR.

I hope that my review will be helpful for you and for the authors.

**Editor's Details:**

Franciszek Burdan

Experimental Teratology Unit, Human Anatomy Department, Medical University of Lublin, Poland

AND

Radiology Department, St. John's Cancer Center, Poland

**Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.**