

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_8070
Title of the Manuscript:	Fertility Enhancing Potential of Mucuna Pruriens Seeds in Female Sprague-Dawley Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The findings are interesting and useful for continuous study related to <i>Mucuna pruriens</i> especially in reproductive area. The design should be stated clearly in the abstract.	
	- <i>M. pruriens</i> can be used as an alternative to treat problem in fertility instead of prescription drugs.	
Minor REVISION comments	- Selection of dose, based on what? Previous study or which guidelines or method have been referred? You should mention in the methodology.	
	 The dosages can be selected from the acute toxicity since the author did mention about the drug toxicity study. What do you mean by drug toxicity? Is it include mortality, morbidity, adverse effect. Should clearly mention about it and is it drug referring to extract M. pruriens? 	
	- At what age animal has been used? During acclimatization and study period. Should clearly mention in the 2.2. Animals.	
	 In ovulation study, the rats were given a single dose of extract at what dose? Please mention the dose given. How about the groups, is it the same treatment and control group as in oestrous group study? Author should clearly mention in the methodology. 	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

-The type of administration did not mention in the methodology but mention in the result (given by oral administration). Should clearly mention in the methodology type of administration and using what. For example intubation needle etc.	
-How many days the rats received extract? For oestrus cyclicity study given daily for how long? I can see the extract was given for 24 days in the table but not in the methodology. Please describe in the methodology.	
-Please write the temperature room of that room? Room temperature always different from any other places, depends on their environment and facility.	
-In the statistical analysis, the p value is always p <0.05. -In table 1 until table 4. My suggestion (^a) should be removed because it will make confusion to the readers. Its look like only the first data at a control group only calculated in mean and SD. My suggestion you just mention that "Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. *p value less than 0.05, ($p < 0.05$) significant value".	
- I really want to know the population (n) of the rats used in the statistical analysis. Is it all 40 rats used? It is good if the author can include in the table.	
It will be good if the histology can be made since the significant increased has been observed in the highest dose. The histology of the ovary and oocytes from the control and treatment groups.	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Typing error: Line 66, soshlet correct one soxhlet. Line 71, repeated word were . Line 108, 10,000 g or 10,000 rpm? It contradiction with line 116. Centrifuge always in rpm. Line 115, spun, correct one spin. Line 156, 183. M. Pruriens correct one <i>M. pruriens</i> Line 185, phytochemincal correct phytochemical.	
	ACUC number (approval number from the committee for using animal in the study). I really want to know whether the veterinarian was around or not during the cervical dislocation procedure. Maybe the author can include in the acknowledgement.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Zaleha Halim
Department, University & Country	Herbal Medicine Research Centre, Institute for Medical Research, Malaysia