



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_8559
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatic Antioxidant Effect of Paroxetine in Rats Exposed to Chronic Restraint Model
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1-Better explain the environmental conditions of rodents and all details of packing and handling them. Explain why you used this number of animals and if it was the minimal required to a well done research.</p> <p>2-There are tables with means and standard deviations in the tables, however do not see the results of the used test. It would be interesting to present more complete tables of the overall result, not only the P found. What is the correlation of results, strong? Weak? Positive or negative? It is essential for better understanding and a deep discussion.</p> <p>3-Even doing a discussion encompassing discussion and conclusion, I think that after this hard work, a more detailed conclusion should be presented not only a simple and vague paragraph.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- After using a term and submit the abbreviation, follow with this abbreviation throughout the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors declares that the study was conducted under the ethical rules of their country. I did not see issues at this aspect.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre R. M. Schier
Department, University & Country	UFRJ/LABPR Resecher Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Brazil