



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2015_BJMMR_17710</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>"Replantation of knocked out tooth after traumatic avulsions."</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The work is line with the title. The paper presents an interesting clinical case.</p> <p>It is necessary to explain in the material, with detail, the treatment performed to the surface of the root before replantation and the material used for root canal treatment.</p> <p>The paragraph 148-150 is redundant.</p> <p>The text need to be revised.</p>	<p>Thank you to the honourable reviewer for valuable opinion.</p> <p>The material used for root treatment and endodontic is added in line 94-97.</p> <p>The paragraph 148-150 has been removed from the text and new line correlated to that is 149-150.</p>