



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17710
Title of the Manuscript:	"Replantation of knocked out tooth after traumatic avulsions."
Type of the Article	Case Study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The work is line with the title. The paper presents an interesting clinical case.</p> <p>It is necessary to explain in the material, with detail, the treatment performed to the surface of the root before replantation and the material used for root canal treatment.</p> <p>The paragraph 148-150 is redundant.</p> <p>The text need to be revised.</p>	<p>Thank you to the honourable reviewer for valuable opinion.</p> <p>The material used for root treatment and endodontic is added in line 94-97.</p> <p>The paragraph 148-150 has been removed from the text and new line correlated to that is 149-150.</p>