



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2015_BJMMR_17266</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Chronic Low-Dose Step-up Protocol in treating women with Unexplained Infertility: (37,5 Units versus 75 Units of follitropin alpha as the Initial Dose)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The words shaded with yellow colour may be deleted or corrected according to notes overlying them in the text.</b></p> <p><b>The Numbers such as " 11,5% " should be corrected as 11.5%. All numbers in the text need to be revised.</b></p> <p><b><u>Abstract</u></b>  <b>Methodology: These words " characteristics and treatment outcome " are repeated in lines 12&amp; 15. Also, the 1<sup>st</sup> sentence is not clear. It may corrected in the text.</b></p> <p><b><u>Introduction</u></b>  <b>Please, the authors may add a brief comment about follitropin-alpha; its structure, uses, common doses,...etc.</b></p> <p><b><u>Material and Methods:</u></b>  <b>"Material" may be replaced by "Patients"</b>  <b>Line No. 63 needs to be documented by a reference.</b>  <b>Line No. 77 is not clear. Also, the word "selected" is repeated.</b>  <b>Line 82 needs a reference.</b></p> <p><b><u>Discussion</u></b>  <b>Line 144, 145 need a reference.</b>  <b>Balasch et al. is numbered 6 in the references. This needs to be checked again.</b></p> <p><b><u>Conclusions</u></b>  <b>Should be added at the end of the text.</b></p> <p><b><u>Abbreviations</u></b>  <b>Also, there are abbreviations needing to be clarified such as "IUI". Therefore, it is better to collect the abbreviations at the end of the text and to write their clarifications, under subtitle "Abbreviations".</b></p> <p><b><u>References:</u></b></p>	<p>We have made all the corrections as suggested. except one item, only:</p> <p>We have not been able to understand what was meant by the revision comment:          '[7] Ares- Serono (1995) needs to write its website and re-checked'. We would be very glad if the reviewer would make this comment more clear.</p>



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>[5] The name "Calaf" is not mentioned opposite the name of the authors in the text, so it may be deleted.</p> <p>[7] Ares- Serono (1995) needs to write its website and re-checked.</p> <p><b>In table 1: Infertility: Primer n(%); Sekonder n(%)*</b> <b>The words " Primer &amp; Sekonder appeared incorrect"</b></p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		