



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | 2015_BJMMR_16423 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of pupil diameters in Pseudoexfoliation syndrome under scotopic, mesopic, photopic and dynamic conditions using infrared pupillometer (Assessment of pupil diameters in Pseudoexfoliation syndrome) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introductions of references of "5-8" may contain "drugs" and "diabetes", however, you should cite the original articles about investigating drugs and diabetes. 2. You state that "The recent technological developments in pupillometers..." in the manuscript. However, the 13. Reference's date is 1985, it has not include current and recent technological developments in pupillometers though Altan and Brown have refered it. You should cite current articles. 3. Line 117: references 12,14-25: The main topic of cited articles should be associated with the statement of your manuscript. For this reason, you should choose the articles that compared various pupillometers such as 14-16,18-20,22-25 references. 4. Line 160-162: The sentence may be "While making literature research, we did not find studies about investigating pupil measurements using IR pupillometer in pseudoexfoliative patients." 5. Your correction about "p" value is acceptable, but I mean that the number of digits after comma should be equal like p=0,001 and p=0,850 | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All the references in the introduction and discussion sections provide information about the drugs and diabetes. Because of this reason, we used these references. 2. It (13. reference) was replaced "Kanellopoulos AJ, Asimellis G, Georgiadou S. Digital pupillometry and centroid shift changes after cataract surgery. <i>J Cataract Refract Surg.</i> 2015;41(2):408-414." 3. Line 117 (after first revision Line 128-129): Because the comparison of pupillometers was mentioned in the discussion section, it was used 17 and 21. references. 4. In first revision , this sentence had corrected "While making literature research, we did not find studies about investigating pupil measurements using IR pupillometer." with the proposal of reviewer. 5. It was corrected |