



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_16224
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicity of Sodium arsenite and Cyclophosphamide in Rats: Protective Effects of Aqueous Extract of Adansonia digitata L Fruit Pulp.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The pepar clearly report, from a biological point of view, the effect of the tested extracts. In my opinion the paper can be improved with the addition of supposed active components responsible of biological activity, or at least, a partial characterization.	We appreciate this comment; work is ongoing on the characterization.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Improve English language, in some sentences it appears difficult to understand. Check manuscript for significant digit.	English language have now been improved. P value less (<) 0.05 is considered significant.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		