



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17689
Title of the Manuscript:	Mutations and pathogenesis of myeloproliferative neoplasms: Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The attached manuscript is unreadable, confounding and written without a concept or message. It is a summery of facts with lack into the things studied. Who are the authors who mainly copied the literature without tracking back the sources.	The whole manuscript was reviewed. The language of the manuscript was reedited. The changes are highlighted in yellow. The conclusion section was broadened. The article you suggested was added as reference 137 and was alluded to at the conclusions section. Michiels JJ. et al. The 2006 → 2008 European Clinical, Molecular and Patholobiological (2008 ECMP) Classification and the 2007 WHO Revised Criteria for Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. J Hematol Thrombosis Dis 2015, 3:1
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		