



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_18101
Title of the Manuscript:	Ectopic prostate in the uterine cervix A Review of the Literature

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Through the whole article the authors wrote: ectopic prostate tissue in the uterine cervix (EPIUC). If they use EPIUC there is no need to always write “ectopic prostate tissue in the uterine cervix”. EPIUC should be left instead of the whole words. The authors wrote that “Every one reading conisation biopsy would understand it is cone biopsy” I obviously agree with them, but cone biopsy is the correct name of the procedure, that is why I have counseled them to change. About the length of B part, I suggested it was shortened just because I think there was too many overlapping information, and it became too long for the reader.	Please see paper which contains few changes. I agree cone biopsy can be used instead of conisation biopsy 3 EPIUC has been left and ectopic prostatic tissue removed in some areas; in my opinion the duplication with EPIUC in bracket does not matter. 4. I do not want to shorten PART B I prefer it long with reiteration of what others had earlier said for reinforcement for those statements to be remembered.