



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19580
Title of the Manuscript:	Non-Helicobacter pylori, non-NSAID peptic ulcer disease
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I think the two articles “Dieulafoy Lesion in the Stomach Case Reports in Gastroenterology 09/2008; 2(3):469-73” for part 4.9(idiopathic ulcer) and “A new prognostic strategy for gastric carcinoma: albumin level and metastatic lymph node ratio. Minerva chirurgica 06/2014; 69(3):147-53” for part 6 (conclusion) will enrich the manuscript.	Dieulafoy lesions are by definition not ulcers. My submission is not a paper about upper gastrointestinal bleeding but rather specifically pertains to ulcer disease. There are of course many lesions that resemble ulcers clinically and in endoscopy but explanation of this is beyond the scope of my article. I have hence omitted mention of Dieulafoy lesions. I have not made any additions to the conclusion in relation to the article “A new prognostic strategy for gastric carcinoma: albumin level and metastatic lymph node ratio”. My paper does not discuss gastric cancers and mention of it in the conclusion would seem not to be pertinent. I have therefore not acted on either recommendation by the reviewer at this point. However, if it is deemed vital then I will happily incorporate the changes as requested.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well written paper	