



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19638
Title of the Manuscript:	Health care Strategies for elementary schools
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is interesting, very well and clearly written. Even if the study is just a qualitative one I think it deserves to be published.	Dear Professor Thanks for your kindly attention
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 70: check references 17 and 18. Line 76: add a reference for the MAXQDA software	Each references strictly was control The mistake happened when I change parentheses in END NOTE to brackets in this text and I modified them With best regard
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		