



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19760
Title of the Manuscript:	Thymectomy in children with juvenile myasthenia gravis: Is it recommended?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is not an original research article. This is a case report and as such of interest for paediatric surgeons that every now and then meets children that need a thymectomy.</p> <p>There are serious flaws in the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In the abstract the authors describe 7 children and in the rest of the manuscript and in the table they discuss five children only. 2) In line 12 they use the word "Exploration" where I think they mean work up only. 3) The authors have no data to support their conclusions and I suggest that they add data or rewrite their conclusion. 4) As a case report this manuscript can be of interests to colleagues that sporadically take care of children with juvenile myasthenia gravis. 5) I suggest tabulation of the results and findings in the literature. This will certainly make the manuscript of value for the readers. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is about Five cases. The error was corrected in the text. 2. The sentence is edited 3. The conclusion is re-written to suit better our small series. 4. We added a table with review of different series with comparison to our cases. (table2)
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		