



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_21384
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictors of Diabetic Ketoacidosis among Patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Seen in the Emergency Unit
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Table 2 and Table 3 mentioned that total number of subjects for DKA is 400. But text says that total number for Type 1 diabetes is 400 but 160 of them had DKA.</p> <p>Please mention enrolment of these subjects more clearly. Why 240 patients without DKA showed up in the ER?</p> <p>Please include a table showing baseline characteristics of DKA and non-DKA patients were equivalent.</p>	<p>160(40) only 40% of those 400 patients seen in the emergency room have DKA at the time of study .</p> <p>Analyze the predictors of DKA among patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus seen in the emergency unit that involved four hundred patients with type1 diabetes mellitus seen in the emergency units for different reasons including hyperglycemia with out the criteria of DKA table -2</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		