



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_21551
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Postural Stability in Pregnant Women
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1- Why you include only those between 25-30 & not 20-30 for ex, also why include only primigravida, and lastly why include only women with high education. Then in your conclusion you pregnant women in general.</p> <p>2- Do you assess fetal condition after the test.</p>	<p>Many thanks for your advices and recommendations</p> <p>Actually , there is no difference between 25-30 & 20-30 for test, but in my opinion it is better to narrow the maternal ages as a delimitation of the study.</p> <p>Selection of primigravida to make delimitation of the study.</p> <p>Selection of women with high education to understand the study easily and to make delimitation of the study.</p> <p>Assessment of fetal condition before & after the test, this is another study that can be done later</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		