



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_21551
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Postural Stability in Pregnant Women
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: The introduction must be more objective and directly related to the main objective of the study; there are passages that seem discussion of results (lines 19-24; 25-35).</p> <p>Methods: Some questions need to be answered: 1. How far is the screen positioning in front of the patient? It has been standardized? (lines 72-74) 2. Pregnant women were shoe or barefoot? 3. It's better explain the parameters used (OA, AP, ML) with respect to the stability level. How do you evaluate these parameters by balance platform?</p> <p>Results: Authors should not duplicate data in tables and text (prioritize table use) (lines 90-92; 100-102).</p> <p>Discussion: Authors should discuss their results with others studies of the literature; ligament laxity is discussed, but has not been evaluated in this study (primarily operates in the first trimester which was also not assessed in this study) (lines 121-127).</p>	<p><i>Many thanks for your valuable suggestions</i></p> <p>-Introduction started by general information about biomechanical information during pregnancy that related to the core of research(balance) and ended by purpose of the study after showing the previous study.</p> <p>-The screen is fixed on BBS.</p> <p>- Barefoot.</p> <p>- Line 70-71, BBS has different stability level (8 to 1), all stability indices(OA, AP, ML) can be measured with every level(printout) that consider as objective parameters to evaluate the postural stability.</p> <p>- The study focused on evaluation of postural stability in pregnant women, not on the causes of postural stability which may consider in the later study. We show all causes (as lig. laxity , weight gain, an anterior shift in the location of the centre of mass..etc) briefly.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>References: Authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion.</p> <p>Review the English (grammatical errors)</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The way the results are discussed , there are not scientific contribution to the field.	