# **Evaluation of Postural Stability in Pregnant Women**

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** The hormonal, physiological and anatomical changes throughout pregnancy affect the musculoskeletal system that lead to postural instability which may impair their lifestyles and increased risk of collapses. This study was aimed to assess postural balance in females during the second and third trimester of pregnancy.

## Study design: a case control study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Biomechanics lab. in Faculty of Physical Education at Kafrelsheikh University, between February and July 2015.

**Methodology:** In this study, postural stability throughout the second and third trimester was measured using the Biodex Balance System (BBS) in 14 pregnant females(age range 25-30 years). The overall (OA), anterior-posterior (AP) and medial-lateral (ML) stability index scores were obtained at level 8 and 7. **Results:** The mean OA, AP and ML scores were significantly higher in the third trimester compared to second trimester (P < .05). There was no significant difference in the ML between the stability level 8 and 7 (P > .05) during the second or third trimester.

**Conclusion:** Pregnant females have poor postural stability as well as decreased postural equilibrium in the third trimester compared with the second trimester of pregnancy.

8 Keywords: Balance; posture; maternity; second trimester; third trimester.

## 9 **1. INTRODUCTION**

10

11 Pregnancy is a strange health condition, seen as physiological process, which include seguential 12 modification on the bodily organs along with corporal systems of women [1]. Women that are pregnant undergo numerous anatomical, physiological, and hormonal changes [2], which could lead to 13 14 musculoskeletal complaints, in addition to impacting the pregnant woman's balance [3.4]. The effect of 15 pregnancy on the musculoskeletal system results in modifications of the static posture of women, which 16 may impair their everyday tasks and increase the risk of falls[2], which occur within 25% of pregnant 17 women [5].Pregnancy-related collapses are generally common. In general, pregnant women fall at a 18 similar rate (27%) to women older than 70 years (28%). collapses are the leading cause of emergency department, hospital admissions in pregnancy[2].Women that are pregnant encounter a substantial 19 20 weight gain, an anterior shift in the location of the centre of mass, increased ligamentous laxity, reduced 21 neuromuscular control as well as coordination, changed biomechanics, reduced abdominal muscle 22 strength, increased spinal lordosis, and changes in mechanical loading and joint kinetics [6]. Many of 23 these postural alternations can produce overloads in the main joints as well as results in musculoskeletal 24 discomfort and pain symptoms. This change can increase the risk of collapses1.Numerous investigators 25 have examined different aspects connected with postural stability during maternity. Jang et al.[7] found 26 greater anterior-posterior and radial sway, no change in medial-lateral sway, and a wider preferred 27 stance breadth in pregnant women during quiet stance in comparison to non-pregnant ladies. Oliveira et 28 al.[8] assessed changes in body sway during maternity by stabilogram and observed a decrease in 29 postural balance in situations of a diminished support base or with eyes closed. Ribas and Guirro [9] analyzed plantar pressure and postural stability during the three trimesters of maternity and found a 30 31 substantial decrease with postural stability within the final trimester, linked to higher anterior-posterior 32 displacement during this time period. Butler et al.[5] concluded that postural stability declines 33 progressively in the course of maternity and remains diminished at 6 to 8 weeks after delivery. This 34 particular research furthermore suggested that there is an increased dependency on visual cues to keep 35 stability in the course of maternity. Thus, the results involving pregnancy about the musculoskeletal

system result in great modifications in static and dynamic posture for females. The maintenance of 36 37 postural stability in the standing position is a complex undertaking and, in spite of being popular with 38 lifestyle and throughout pregnancy, the woman's body seems to have already changed the postural 39 control that during the last trimester, there is a tendency to reduce the postural steadiness [10]. However, 40 few studies assessed modifications with postural control throughout pregnancy. The purpose of that study 41 was to evaluate the postural equilibrium throughout different phases of pregnancy. The outcomes obtained may improve health care intervention in the adaptive musculoskeletal modifications and their 42 43 outcomes over the gestational time period.

## 44

#### 45 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS 46

47 Study design and sampling following the hospitals ethical committee approved the study at the obstetric 48 department (Antenatal Clinic) of the Kafrelsheikh general hospital. Experimental procedures were 49 explained to each pregnant participant and written informed consent was obtained from the subjects (31 50 pregnant women). This study was done in Biomechanics lab. in Faculty of Physical Education at 51 Kafrelsheikh University from February 2015 to July 2015. The gestational age during the subjects' first 52 data collection session was occurring during their second trimester at 20-24 weeks. Their second visit 53 occurred during the middle their third trimester at 30-34 weeks. 17 subjects did not complete the second 54 visit because of: a decision to withdraw from the study (n = 10), delivery of the baby prior to 30 weeks (n 55 = 1), pre-eclampsia or other complications in their pregnancy (n = 1), injuries sustained from a fall 56 required the subject to be placed on bed rest (n = 2), being overweight (n=2) and relocation to another governorate (n = 1). The inclusion criteria were maternal age between 25 and 30 years, low risk 57 58 pregnancy, single fetus, primigravid, high school graduated, body mass index (BMI) would not exceed 30 59 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Potential participants who were pregnant were excluded from the study if they were less than 20<sup>th</sup> 60 week of pregnancy, were carrying more than one fetus, or if they had a history of any of the following: 61 gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, toxemia, gestational hypertension, previous abortion, or if they were 62 considered by their obstetrician to have a high-risk pregnancy. Potential pregnant participants were 63 excluded if they had a history of type-I or -II diabetes, or any other condition that could affect sensation, a 64 leg or foot fracture and ankle or knee sprain within the last year, current back or knee pain. Subjects were 65 also excluded if they were a current smoker or if they currently took any medication that would affect their 66 ability to balance. The design of this study was a case control study. The dynamic balance parameters(Anterior posterior (AP), Mediolateral (ML) and Overall (OA) stability indices) measured by the 67 Biodex Balance System, It is a balance screening and training tool Biodex Medical System (Inc, Shirley 68 69 New York, U.S.A). It consists of a movable balance platform, which provides up to 20 degrees of surface 70 tilt in 360° range. The stability levels available in the system range from a completely firm surface 71 (Stability level-8) to a very unstable surface (Stability level-1) [10]. The biodex balance assessment was 72 performed in standing position. The pregnant participants were instructed to focus on the visual feedback 73 screen directly in front of the patient and attempt to maintain the cursor at the center of the screen while 74 standing on the unstable platform (either stability level -8 and 7). Statistical Analysis: Means and standard 75 deviations were calculated for each variable using descriptive statistics. The paired t - test was used to analyze and compare the gained results within each phase of pregnancy (2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester) and 76 77 Independent t-test was carried out to assess differences in the balance parameters between the second 78 and third trimester. A P-value of < .05 was taken to represent statistical significance. Data analysis was 79 performed using SPSS software version 18.

## 80

#### 3. RESULTS 81

82

83 All data had been collected and statistically analyzed and presented under the following headings;

#### 84 3.1 Physical characteristics of the patients:

85 14 participants were included in this study; the mean age was 27.02±1.2 years, BMI was 26.6±1.06 and 27.39±2.15 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. 86

3.2 Stability Indices (OA, AP and ML): 87

#### 3.2.1 Stability level -8 88

89 Pregnant women's stability indices (OA, AP and ML) were significantly different between the second and

90 third trimester at stability level-8 (P< 0.05), as the mean values of OA, AP and ML during the second 91 trimester were  $3.35 \pm 1.14$ ,  $3.82 \pm 1.15$  and  $2.14 \pm 0.6$ , while during the third trimester were  $5.36 \pm 1.12$ , 92 4.8± 0.16 and 4.15± 0.6 respectively (Table 1).

93

#### d 94 95

96

Table 1. Mean ± SD of stability indic	es for participants at stability	level-8 during the	2nd and 3rd
trimester.			

Stability	y Index	Mean ± SD	P-value	Significance
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	3.35±1.14		
OA	3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester	5.36± 1.12	P< .05	S
	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	3.82±1.15	D 05	0
AP	3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester	4.8±0.16	P< .05	S
N 41	2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester	2.14± 0.6		C
ML	3 <sup>rd</sup> trimester	4.15 ±0.6	P< .05	S

97

SD: Standard Deviation, P. value: probability value, S: Significant.

#### 98 3.2.2 Stability level -7

Pregnant women's stability indices (OA, AP and ML) were significantly different between the second and 99 third trimester at stability level-7 (P< 0.05), as the mean values of OA, AP and ML during the second 100 trimester were  $4.8\pm0.9$ ,  $5.1\pm1.2$  and  $2.8\pm1.4$ , while during the third trimester were  $6.9\pm1.04$ ,  $6.7\pm1.13$ 101 102 and 4.5± 1.01 respectively (Table 2).

103 104

#### 105 Table 2. Mean ± SD of stability indices for participants at stability level-7 during the 2nd and 3rd 106 trimester.

Stability	y Index	Mean ± SD	P-value	Significance
	2nd trimester	4.8±0.9		
OA	3rd trimester	6.9± 1.04	P< .05	S
AP	2nd trimester	5.1±1.2	P< .05	S
AP	3rd trimester	6.7±1.13	P< .05	5
N/I	2nd trimester	2.8± 1.4	D : 05	S
ML	3rd trimester	4.5 ±1.01	P< .05	S

107

## SD: Standard Deviation, P. value: probability value, S: Significant.

108 When comparison between the mean values of Pregnant women's stability indices during the second or third trimester; OA and AP were statistically significantly different (P < .05) between stability level-8 and 7, 109 with no significantly different (P > .05) at ML. 110

111

#### 112 5.DISSCUSION

113

114 Postural stability review via different practices might help with the particular growth of therapeutic methods to prevent postural instability and also falls during pregnancy. The primary purpose of this study 115 116 was to evaluate the antenatal postural stability during the second and third trimester. Our results show that pregnant women's stability indices (OA, AP and ML) were significantly different between the second 117 and third trimester at stability level-8 or 7, which can be explained by the fact that, the increase in weight 118

as well as the disequilibrium on the articulation system caused by the increase body mass and body size 119 120 can shift the center of gravity, resulting in an unstable postural balance along with effect the actual 121 biomechanics regarding good posture [7]. The actual ligamentous laxity inside the sacroiliac joint and 122 pubic symphysis induced largely by means of elevated concentrations of the hormone relaxin on the first 123 trimester, then decline early in the subsequent trimester to a level that remains stable throughout the rest 124 of the pregnancy and into labor [11], in order to favor the passage of the fetus during labor, along with the 125 normal weight gain that occurs during pregnancy, causing postural instability and discomfort in the joints 126 of the pelvis, hip, knees and feet [12]. Other authors also observed the relationship between ligamentous 127 laxity as well as the estrogen hormone [13]. Approximately 50 % of the weight gain is concentrated in the 128 abdominal region anterior to the line of gravity, transferring the center of gravity (CG), which may promote 129 postural instability[14]. It was observed that no significantly different at ML between stability level 8 and 7 130 during the second or third trimester, it is believed that by separating feet, the support base expands in the ML direction in relation to AP [15]. A major limitation of our study was the small sample size. Based on 131 132 sample size estimation with the power of the study 1-B=80%, and in order to detect the effect size of d = 133 0.5 with a significance level of a < 0.05, 50-participants were needed for this study. Also, recruiting subjects for this type of study was difficult due to the emotional source as fear and lack of background 134 135 about the scientific research. Other limitations were the psycho physiological, social and cultural level of 136 participants. Lastly, since this study was conducted at a regional hospital in the Kafrelsheikh governorate 137 with primigravida women, caution should be taken in generalizing findings to another setting and 138 multiparous women. Obstetricians need to create their patients conscious of the increased threat of drops 139 throughout maternity. This specific information may help affected individuals make a decision when 140 certain activities may be best prevented while pregnancy. Future scientific studies should include the 141 advancement of easy stability assessments which can be carried out in the medical center that can help 142 physicians establish which of their patients are at a greater possibility of dropping. More research on the 143 effectiveness of exercise in drop avoidance throughout the gestational time period is actually advised.

144

## 145 **4. CONCLUSION**

146 Pregnant women have poor postural stability, with a decreased postural sense of balance throughout the 147 third trimester of maternity compared with the second trimester.

# 148149 **REFERENCES**

150

151 1.Ritchie JR. Orthopedic consideration during pregnancy. Clin.Obstet.Gynecol. 2003;45(2):456-466.

152

2.Dunning K, Le Masters G, Levin L, Bhattacharya A, Alterman T, Lordo K. Falls in workers during
pregnancy: risk factors, job hazards, and high risk occupations. Am J Ind Med. 2003;44:664–72.

3.Ireland ML, Ott SM. The effects of pregnancy on the musculoskeletal system. Clinical Orthopedics andRelated Research. 2000; 372:169-79.

4.Vullo VJ, Richardson JK, Hurvitz EA. Hip, knee, and foot pain during pregnancy and the postpartum period. The Journal of Family Practice. 1996; 43(1):63-8.

162 5.Butler EE, Colon I, Druzin ML, Rose J. Postural equilibrium during pregnancy: decreased stability with 163 an increased reliance on visual cues. Am J Obstet Gynecol .2006;195:1104–8.

- 165 6.Weiss HB, Songer TJ, Fabio A. Fetal deaths related to maternal injury. JAMA. 2001;286:1863–8. 166
- 7.Jang J, Hsiao KT, Hsiao-Wecksler ET. Balance (perceived and actual) and preferred stance width
  during pregnancy. Clin. Biomech. (Bristol, Avon). 2008;23:468–76.
- 170 8.Oliveira LF, Vieira TM, Macedo AR, Simpson DM, Nadal J. Postural sway changes during pregnancy: a 171 descriptive study using stabilometry. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive
- 172 Biology. 2009; 147:25-8.
- 173

164

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 9.Ribas SI, Gurrio EC. Analysis of planter pressure and postural balance during different phases of
   pregnancy. Braz. J. Phys. Ther. 2007;11(5): 391-396.
- 176

177 10-Rozzi S, Lephart S, Sterner R, Kuligowski I. Balance training for persons with functionally unstable 178 ankles. JOSPT.1999; 29(8):478-486.

- 179
  180 11.Heckman JD, Sassard R. Musculoskeletal considerations in pregnancy. The Journal of Bone & Joint
  181 Surgery.1994; 76(11):1720-30.
- 182
- 183 12. Marnach ML, Ramin KD, Ramsey PS, Song SW, Stensland JJ, An KN. Characterization of the
  relationship between joint laxity and maternal hormones in pregnancy. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2003;
  101(2):331-5.
- 187 13.Charlton WP, Coslett-Charlton LM, Ciccotti MG. Correlation of estradiol in pregnancy and anterior 188 cruciate ligament laxity. Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research. 2001; 1(387):165-170.
- 14. Niska M, Sofer D, Porat A, Howard CB, Levi A, Meizner I. Planter foot pressures in pregnant women.
  Israel Journal of Medical Sciences. 1997; 33(2):139-46.
- 192

189

- 193 15. Mochizuki L, Duarte M, Amadio AC, Zatsiorsky VM, Latash ML. Changes in postural sway and its 194 fractions in conditions of postural instability. Journal of Applied Biomechanics. 2006; 22:51-60.
- 194 195
- 196
- 197