Original Research Article

Chronic Low-Dose Step-up Protocol in treating women with Unexplained Infertility: *(37,5 Units versus 75 Units of follitropin alpha as the Initial Dose)*

Abstract

Aims: To compare the treatment outcome of the 37,5Units/day follitropin-alpha (Study Group) with 75Units/day (Control Group) as the initial dose for chronic low-dose step-up ovulation induction for unexplained infertile, non-PCOS women.

Methodology: Retrospective study and comparison of the patient characteristics and treatment outcome of 2 patient groups of 100 patient-cycles (Study and Control groups). 95 (Study group) and 98(Control group) ovulatory cycles were included in the final analysis. Low-dose step-up cycles with initial doses of 37,5Units/day and 75Units/day were compared with respect to the cycle characteristics and treatment outcome.

Results: Cycle cancellations were less common in the Study Group (6,3% vs 15,3%; *P*=0,02); those in the control group being mostly due to excessive response. The conception rates were similar: 11,5% and 11,2% in the study and the control groups, respectively. Total and mean daily gonadotropin used were lower in the study group (*P*=0,02 and *P*=0,04). 1 mild OHSS(ovarian hyperstimulation) was observed in each group. There were no multiple pregnancies in either group.

Conclusion: The initial daily dose of 37,5 Unit/day is more effective in achieving a unifollicular cycle while being as safe and effective as 75Units/day; requiring a lower amount of gonadotropin for the conventional treatment of unexplained infertility in non-PCOS women.

Keywords: *Unexplained infertility, Low-dose Step-up, ovulation induction, intrauterine insemination*

Introduction:

The Chronic Low-dose Step-up protocol for ovulation induction in intrauterine insemination cycles was introduced for polycystic ovarian syndrome patients [1,2]. The goal for using this protocol is to provide three or less mature follicles in a cycle; preventing ovarian hyperstimulation, multiple gestations and cycle cancellations. The induction is started at a fixed initial dose and response to induction was checked at no shorter intervals than 7 days. [3]. When this interval is 5-7 days as it was initially introduced, it is called the 'conventional low-dose step-up protocol' which was not, as it turned out, as useful and safe as the Chronic Low-dose Step-up protocol. Studies analysing chronic low-dose step-up ovulation inductions have basically aimed to define any obtainable benefit by decreasing the starting dose [4,5,6], prolonging the initial dose adjustment interval before deciding for a step-up [7], lowering the incremental dose [8] and alternating the ovulation trigger medications. Recently, the Ministry of Health of our country has announced a treatment regulation that 'in ovulation induction cyles, it is compulsory to abstain from administering ovulation triggering medications if mature follicle number is greater than 2.' Hence, in our practise, we lowered the starting dose to 37,5Units/day of recombinant human FSH (follitropin alpha) with 7 day dose adjustments and incremental doses of +37,5Units/day, if necessary to achieve a uni(bi-)follicular induction cycle with lower cancellations due to excessive response. In this study, we retrospectively compared the results of a starting dose of (37,5 units/day recombinant human FSH (follitropin alpha)) in the non-PCOS unexplained or subfertile male factor infertile patients with the routinely preferred (75 units/day) starting dose, which we had commonly used.

Material and Methods:

We retrospectively analysed the clinical results of the chronic low-dose step-up ovulation induction protocol with an initial dosage of 37,5 Units follitropin alpha used in 100 cycles of the same number of patients (the Study Group) in comparison with a control group of 100

cycles with a 75 Units initial dose of the same number of women (the Control Group). The retrospective analysis of the patient data was approved by the hospital ethics committee and had been permitted for by the patients in the signed informed consent forms for ovulation induction with gonadotropins. The control group was formed among similar unexplained infertile and subfertile male factor infertile couples treated with the chronic low-dose step-up ovulation induction protocol with a starting dose of 75 Units.

None of them were diagnosed as PCOS according to the Rotterdam Criteria. A hysterosalpingography had been performed within the preceding 6 months of treatment in all of the patients. No patients with hyperprolactinemia, thyroid dysfunction, insulin resistance, diabetes mellitus, patients with BMI>30 or recurrent abortions were included in the analysis. No other infertility factors were defined in the 2 groups. 98 control and 95 study patients' ovulatory cycles were included in the analysis.

The cycles had been started having assured that blood estradiol levels were <50pg/ml; progesterone <0,5ng/ml; LH<5mIU/ml and no residual follicles >15mm were observed. Initial doses were 37,5 Units and 75 Units of follitropin alpha in the study and the control groups, respectively. The rest of the treatment was similar in the 2 groups. The initial daily doses were continued for 7 days. At the 7th day of treatment, follicular response was assesed sonographically and if confirmed (at least 1 follicle ≥10mm), the same doses were continued. If follicular respond was not confirmed, the daily doses were increased by 37,5 Units to be continued and reassesed every 1-3 days in the following week. If 3 or more follicles were selected or if at the end of 20 days of induction (3 cycles of 7 days), no follicles were selected, the cycles were cancelled. Having obtained 1 or 2 follicles >16mm, rhCG 150μg sc. was administered to induce ovulation and intrauterine insemination performed 36 hours later following sperm preparation and with a soft Wallace catheter. 7 days following the ovulation trigger, blood progesterone was measured and a sonographic exam was performed.

Progesterone blood levels higher than 3,5 ng/ml were considered as ovulatory cycles. 5 cycles in the study and 2 cycles in the control group were excluded because ovulations could not be confirmed. 15 days following the insemination, blood βhCG was measured to check for pregnancy. If βhCG measured was >10mIU/ml, a ***conception***; if fetal cardiac activity was present 2 weeks later, a ***pregnancy***; if the pregnancy was maintained until the 12th week of gestation, an ***ongoing pregnancy***; and if more than 1 intrauterine gestational sac was observed, a ***multiple gestation*** was defined.

The data analysis was made using the Microsoft Excel and the SPSS 17.0 packages.

Results:

A total of (98/100) control (75 Units of initial doses); and (95/100) study (37,5 Units of initial doses) ovulatory cycles were included in the analysis. Demographic characteristics were as in (**Table I**). The patients had recieved previous infertility treatments and had not obtained a conception. Hysterosalpingography revealed minor abnormalities, including unilateral tubal blockage,

uterus arcuatus or subseptus in 7(7,5%) and 4(4,1%) of the control and the study groups, respectively.

15(15,3%) cases-cycles in the control and 6(6,3%) cases-cycles in the study group were cancelled, the cancellation rate in the control group being significantly higher (*P*=0,02). In the control group, the dominant cause for cycle cancellation was excessive response 11(73%), whereas in the study group, the cancellations were mostly due to the lack of response 4(67%).

Duration of the induction cycles were similar: 10,4±2,8days and 10,6±3,3days for the control and study groups, respectively. The mean doses used in the 2 groups were significantly

different: 87,5±11,9 Units/day and 46,1±19,9 Units/day for the control and the study groups, respectively (p=0,04).

There were 11 (11,2%) pregnancies in the control group and 11 (11,5%) in the study group, with no significant difference in the pregnancy rates (*P*=0,83*)*. Of the 11 conceptions in the control group and 11 in the study group; 6 (54,5%) and 9(81,8%) were healthy ongoing gestations in the control and study groups, respectively; yet, this could not reach a significant difference (*P*=0,09). There was 1(1%) mild ovarian hyperstimulation (OHSS) in the control group and 1(1%) in the study group. There were no multiple gestations in either groups.

In summary, the ovulation induction performances were as in (**Table II**). The unifollicular outcome rate was significantly higher in the study group (Study group:84(88%) vs Control group:61(62,2%); *P*=0,007); whereas, the uni(bi-) follicular rate was similar for the study and the control groups (Study group:89(93,7%) vs Control group:86(87,8%); *P*=0,09).

The effect of the need for a step-up was analysed. A step-up was required in 39 of the 193 cycles; the need for a step-up was 17(17,3%) of the control group and 22 (23,1%) of the study group, not presenting a significant difference (*P*=0,45).

Discussion:

We have shown in this study that compared to the commonly used starting dose of 75Units/day, the low-dose step-up ovulation induction protocol with an initial dose of 37,5Units/day used for non-PCOS unexplained patients, (with a dose adjustment interval of 7 days and dose increments of +37,5Units/day) *provides a higher* ***unifollicular growth rate****, similar* ***ovulatory cycle rates****,* ***pregnancy rates****, while providing lower* ***cancellation rates due to hyperresponse****,* *lower* ***gonadotropin use*** *and lower* ***threshold doses for follicular growth****.*

*The 37,5Units/day presented similar rates of **OHSS**, **multiple pregnancy**, and **need for step-up** at dose adjustment.*

The chronic low-dose step-up protocol is a protocol introduced for its usefulness in PCOS patients, to reduce the complication rates resulting from multiple follicle development [1,2]. The basis of this modality in fact is the FSH threshold theory. FSH is known to be igniting and maintaining follicular growth at a dosage, slightly (+10-30%) above a level which produces no effect [9].

The main goal is to obtain a mono- or bifollicular cycle so as to prevent many complications including the ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, cycle cancellations, multiple gestations while maintaining favorable pregnancy rates and pregnancy outcome.

To pursue this goal in low-dose step-up ovulation inductions, 4 points had been focused in studies: decreasing the starting dose, prolonging the duration of the starting dose to get the initial respond, reducing the incremental dose, and individualizing the type and dosage of the medication administered for ovulation triggering [3-7,8].

Brown et al. who first suggested the low-dose step-up concept, defined the initial dose as 75 units and recommended increasing the dosage at 5 day intervals and at +10-30%, each time. This pioneer study reported an OHSS rate of 3% and multiple gestations of 26%. Supraphysiological concentrations of gonadotropins at the initial phase, inadvertently rescues those follicles which would be destined to go through atresia [9]. This rescued growing follicle cohort is eventually the cause for ovarian hyperstimulation, cycle cancellations with a good enough conception rate. [10]

Lower initial doses have been reported to increase the uni(bi-)follicular rates but not the pregnancy rates in PCOS patients by White et al. who attempted to lower it to 52,5 Units/day as the initial dose; and Alsina et al. who in the IO-50 study tried the 50Units/day as the initial dose [4,5]. Balasch et al. attempted to lower the initial dose down to 37,5 Units/day compared

to 50 Units/day for PCOS patients [7]. Similar to our findings, this study reported that the pregnancy rates were not negatively affected; however, the induction times were longer and the thresholds were lower.

In our study, we questioned whether lowering the initial dose further down to 37,5 Units could provide us with the benefits of low-dose step-up while maintaining acceptable response and pregnancy rates in non-PCOS women.

We found that the unifollicular cycles were significantly more common in the study (37,5Units) group (86,4% vs 64,3%). This contrast did not translate into differences in the pregnancy (11,2% vs 11,5%), multiple pregnancy(null in both groups) or mild OHSS rates (1% vs 1%) among the 2 groups, but lower cancellation rates (6% vs 15%); most of which were due to hyporesponse in the 37,5 Units/day group, instead of the more common cause of hyperresponse in the 75 Units/day group.

The main cause in WHO II (PCOS) patients predicating the Chronic Low-dose Step-up protocol is not necessarily the high FSH responsiveness of the follicles, but the excessive number of FSH responsive follicles [11]. This, however may not be the actual the case for women with non-PCOS women with unexplained infertility. In these patients, follicles are normally responsive to FSH, and the FSH responsive follicles are relatively lower in number.

The need for a step-up at dose adjustment with a starting dose of 50Units/day in PCOS patients is reported to be up to 50% [12]. Our step-up rate was 23,1% in the study group, which must be due to a closer to normal initial sensitivity of non-PCOS ovaries to gonadotropins.

When compared to the summed outcome of previous low-dose step-up studies, our fecundity rates were lower 11,5%, because our couples had various unfavorable baseline prognostic characteristics, especially having previously gone through unconcieved intrauterine insemination cycles [13]. Severe OHSS was not observed in our study group.

Whether the first line treatment approach to unexplained infertility/male subfertility should be expectant management or ovulation induction with (clomiphene citrate / gonadotropin)±IUI is a common subject of discussion. It is evident that expectant management for a certain length of time, is at least as effective as empirical clomiphene citrate or unstimulated intrauterine inseminations [14]. After a certain interval, ovulation induction with gonadotropins and intrauterine insemination has a better outcome and proves also to be more cost-effective than ovulation induction with clomiphene citrate, when continued for up to 3 cycles [15]. In these cases, ovulation induction with a standard initial dose of 50-150 Units/day of gonadotropins is the standard protocol. Our approach to nonPCOS unexplained infertile cases may render the primary gonadotropin induction and IUI more cost effective and safer as a first line treatment option, with limited (less than 2) number of follicles at the time of trigger, hence with lower cancellation rates in unexplained infertility patients. It may be interesting to see if the improved pregnancy outcome impression in our findings would be observed in cumulative pregnancy rates of patients in larger series.

Consent Section:

All authors declare written informed consent was obtained the patients for publication of these data. A copy of the written consent form may be provided for during the review process by the editorial office of this journal.

Ethical Approval:

All authors hereby declare that all experiments have been examined and approved by the apppropriate ethics committee and therefore been performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

References:

[1] Seibel MM, Kamrava MM, McArdle C, Taymor ML. Treatment of polycystic ovary disease with chronic low-dose follicle stimulating hormone: biochemical changes and ultrasound correlation. Int J Fertil. 1984;29(1):39-43.

[2] Shoham Z, Patel A, Jacobs HS. Polycystic ovarian syndrome: safety and effectiveness of stepwise and low-dose administration of purified follicle-stimulating hormone. Fertil Steril. 1991;(6):1051-6.

[3] Homburg R, Howles CM. Low-dose FSH therapy for anovulatory infertility associated with polycystic ovary syndrome: rationale, results, reflections and refinements. Hum Reprod Update. 1999;5(5):493-9.

[4] White DM, Polson DW, Kiddy D, Sagle P, Watson H, Gilling-Smith C, Hamilton-Fairley D, Franks S. Induction of ovulation with low-dose gonadotropins in polycystic ovary syndrome: an analysis of 109 pregnancies in 225 women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1996;81(11):3821-4.

[5] Calaf Alsina J1, Ruiz Balda JA, Romeu Sarrió A, Caballero Fernández V, Cano Trigo I, Gómez Parga JL, González Batres C, Rodríguez Escudero FJ. Ovulation induction with a starting dose of 50 IU of recombinant follicle stimulating hormone in WHO group II anovulatory women: the IO-50 study, a prospective, observational, multicentre, open trial. BJOG. 2003;110(12):1072-7

[6] Balasch J1, Fábregues F, Peñarrubia J, Creus M, Vidal R, Casamitjana R, Manau D, Vanrell JA. Follicular development and hormonal levels following highly purified or recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone administration in ovulatory women and WHO group II anovulatory infertile patients. J Assist Reprod Genet. 1998;15(9):552-9.

[7] Ares- Serono (1995) a phase III Open, Randomized, Multicenter Study to Compare the Safety and Efficacy of Recombinant Human Follicle Stimulating Hormone (Gonal-F)

231 Administered Subcutaneously with that of Urinary Human Follicle Stimulating Hormone
232 (Metrodin) given intramuscularly, to Induce Ovulation in WHO Group II Anovulatory
233 Women, Ares-Serono, Internal Report.

234 [8] Orvieto R, Homburg R. Chronic ultra-low dose follicle-stimulating hormone
235 regimen for patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: one click, one follicle, one
236 pregnancy. Fertil Steril. 2009;91(4 Suppl):1533-5.

237 [9]. Brown JB. Pituitary control of ovarian function--concepts derived from
238 gonadotrophin therapy. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1978;18(1):46-54.

239 [10]. Insler V. Gonadotropin therapy: new trends and insights. Int J Fertil. Mar-
240 1988;33(2):85-6, 89-97.

241 [11]. Van Der Meer M, Hompes PG, De Boer JA, Schats R, Schoemaker J. Cohort size
242 rather than follicle-stimulating hormone threshold level determines ovarian sensitivity in
243 polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998;83(2):423-6.

244 [12] Christin-Maitre S, Hugues JN; Recombinant FSH Study Group. A comparative
245 randomized multicentric study comparing the step-up versus step-down protocol in
246 polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod. 2003;18(8):1626-31

247 [13] Hugues JN, Cédrin-Durnerin I, Avril C, Bulwa S, Hervé F, Uzan M. Sequential step-up
248 and step-down dose regimen: an alternative method for ovulation induction with follicle-
249 stimulating hormone in polycystic ovarian syndrome. Hum Reprod. 1996;11(12):2581-4.

250 [14] Steures P, van der Steeg JW, Hompes PG, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen
251 F, Habbema JD, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Verhoeve HR, Mol BW. Intra-Uterine
252 insemination with controlled ovarian hyperstimulation compared to an expectant
253 management in couples with unexplained subfertility and an intermediate prognosis: a
254 randomised study. Lancet. 2006; 368:216-21.

255 [15] Homburg R1, Hendriks ML, König TE, Anderson RA, Balen AH, Brincat M, Child
256 T, Davies M, D'Hooghe T, Martinez A, Rajkhowa M, Rueda-Saenz R,Hompes
257 P, Lambalk CB. Clomifene citrate or low-dose FSH for the first-line treatment
258 of infertile women with anovulation associated with polycystic ovary syndrome: a
259 prospective randomized multinational study. Hum Reprod. 2012;27(2):468-73.

Table I: Demographic characteristics.

Demographic Characteristics:

	Groups	Mean±Std.Deviation
Age*	Control group	30,1±4,8
	Study group	30±3,8
Time of infertility (Months)*	Control group	42,4±27,3
	Study group	50,5±33,7
BMI (kg/m^2)*	Control group	24,8±3,7
	Study group	24,5±3,8
Day 3 FSH (mIU/ml)*	Control group	6,4±2,3
	Study group	7,1±1,8
Day 3 Estradiol (pg/ml)*	Control group	56,3±32,8
	Study group	52,6±18,8
Day 3 antral follicle count*	Control group	9,4±4,8
	Study group	10,4±5,4
Infertility: Primer n(%); Sekonder n(%)*	Control group	80(83);18(17)
	Study group	76(80);19(20)

*: No statistically significant difference observed

Table II: Results of induction:

Results of Treatment:		
Total gonadotropin dosage used (Units)*	**Control group**	677,1±223,6
	Study group	365,3±206,7
Number of follicles >16mm at the day of trigger$^{\beta}$	**Control group**	1,5±0,9
	Study group	1,2±0,7
Endometrial thickness at the day of insemination (mm) $^{\beta}$	**Control group**	9,6±2,3
	Study group	8,9±2,3
Induction time (Days) $^{\beta}$	**Control group**	8,5±5,3
	Study group	9,6±4,7
Conception (+)$^{\beta}$	**Control group %**	11,2
	Study group %	11,5
Ongoing pregnancy (ongoing pregnancy/conceptions) $^{\beta}$	**Control group %**	54,5
	Study group %	81,8

* Showing a significant difference (P=0,02)

$^{\beta}$: No significant difference among the 2 groups.