Journal Name:	**British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_BJMMR_18101**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Ectopic prostate in the uterine cervix A Review of the Literature**
Type of the Article	**REVIEW**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have tried to review literature on ectopic prostate of the uterine cervix based on 13 references in total in which they have included the tubulosquamous polyp case in Vagina of the series by McCluggage and case of skenes glands by Kelly because of which it is better to consider the terminology as EPIUC/female genital tract including vagina and vulva rather than restricting the title to EPIUC and hence the title needs to be modified. 2)Although in 13 references one ref of Mccluggage included the other of 10 case of tubulo vaginal squamous polyp have been omitted which need to be included in the vaginal ectopic prostate list along with references from Kazakov D 2010 including vaginal tubulosquamous polyp,adenohyperplasia of paraurethral female skene gland(female prostate)and ectopic lesions in vulva.and a case of	

	ectopic prostate associated with myofibroblastoma in a 72year old patient receiving tamoxifen (2013) presenting as a vaginal polyp again and further work up is being done to study in female gerbils as in humans it is only accidentally noticed but their development and aetiopathogenesis can be further well understood in animal models so this should be added.(BiANCARDI2014)	
Minor REVISION comments	Page4 line 2 –english-one of the patients presented with a cervical mass which initially was suspected tobe represent fibroid. Correct this to be representing a fibroid. Page9 line40 correct spelling of intracytoplasmic where p is missing.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kulvinder Kaur**
Department, University & Country	**Deptt of Obstt and Gynae, Centre For Human Reproduction, India**