SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_19499
Title of the Manuscript:	Hospital Patient Safety Culture in Developing Countries: A Comparative Study in Ilam City, Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org




SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
	should write his/her feedback here)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	
1 0	
<u> </u>	
order to understand sample we need to know total	
number of all employees participating in health	
care process. Authors state it is available sample,	
what does it mean in this circumstances. If only	
small number of staff agreed to participate in the	
study this must be regarded in the discussion when	
explaining contribution of this study. Table 1. Is	
incomplete and not understandable, it would be	
better to change frequency with number (N),	
- · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	
•	
,	
	Unfortunately it was extremely hard to review the manuscript due to poor and not understandable English. Phrasing is odd and words are misused, generally it seems when as translated missing the spirit of English language. Sampling is not well described. It is not clear are 250 beds in each hospital or in all four together. In order to understand sample we need to know total number of all employees participating in health care process. Authors state it is available sample, what does it mean in this circumstances. If only small number of staff agreed to participate in the study this must be regarded in the discussion when explaining contribution of this study. Table 1. Is

SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

	you must define it, I could not find it in the text. Table 9. not understandable. Mean of what?	
Minor REVISION comments	It is better to avoid indicating tables in the discussion. Benchmark is mentioned but again there is no reference related to it. Discussion should be adjusted to the results, and possible effects of not representative sample should be explained. Abstract: study design and setting should be	
	rewritten	
Optional/General comments	Generally I feel that experts from developing countries should be given a chance to publish their results, even when those results are not well presented. Their work is valuable and data seems to have potential, but someone need to help them in better presenting their results, as well as rewriting the manuscript with help of native speaker of professional in the field of English language. References are up to date.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia