



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_20549
Title of the Manuscript:	Spine Condition Improvement. Health Training Plan for Persons with a Movement Deficit
Type of the Article	Policy Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>These are my recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Complete grammar revision. In many instances the author, ideas does not come across effectively. After reading the paper a few times, the gist of the paper emerges. 2. Planning of sub categories or sections needs to be revised to allow a flowing paper that enhances the content. Tables need to be revised. 3. The methodology needs to identify the specific search engines used. 4. The discussion was strong. Well done. <p>The paper as whole needs attention to detail.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T J Ellapen
Department, University & Country	Department of Biokinetics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa