



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_21384
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictors of Diabetic Ketoacidosis among Patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Seen in the Emergency Unit
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Table 2 and Table 3 mentioned that total number of subjects for DKA is 400. But text says that total number for Type 1 diabetes is 400 but 160 of them had DKA.</p> <p>Please mention enrolment of these subjects more clearly. Why 240 patients without DKA showed up in the ER?</p> <p>Please include a table showing baseline characteristics of DKA and non-DKA patients were equivalent.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debopam Samanta
Department, University & Country	Child Neurology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, USA