



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_21551</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Postural Stability in Pregnant Women</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: The introduction must be more objective and directly related to the main objective of the study; there are passages that seem discussion of results (lines 19-24; 25-35).</p> <p>Methods: Some questions need to be answered:  1. How far is the screen positioning in front of the patient? It has been standardized? (lines 72-74)  2. Pregnant women were shoe or barefoot?  3. It's better explain the parameters used (OA, AP, ML) with respect to the stability level. How do you evaluate these parameters by balance platform?</p> <p>Results: Authors should not duplicate data in tables and text (prioritize table use) (lines 90-92; 100-102).</p> <p>Discussion: Authors should discuss their results with others studies of the literature; ligament laxity is discussed, but has not been evaluated in this study (primarily operates in the first trimester which was also not assessed in this study) (lines 121-127).</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>References: Authors should provide relevant and current references during discussion.</p> <p>Review the English (grammatical errors)</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The way the results are discussed , there are not scientific contribution to the field.	
---	---	--

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ana Silvia Moccellin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Physical Therapy Department, Federal University of Sergipe, Brazil</b>