



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2015_BJMMR_17266</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Chronic Low-Dose Step-up Protocol in treating women with Unexplained Infertility: (37,5 Units versus 75 Units of follitropin alpha as the Initial Dose)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1- Why retrospective &amp; not prospective study especially if you have enough number of cases &amp; time required for follow up is not too long.</p> <p>2- Table 2 ongoing pregnancy, you should calculate percentage per all cases or all cycles not per conception.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1- In table 1 primer &amp; sekonder should replaced by primary &amp; secondary.</p> <p>2- Table 1 you didnot comment on antimullerian hormone. If these data available should mentione</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Magdy abdelrahman mohamed
Department, University & Country	Sohag university, Egypt