



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17710
Title of the Manuscript:	"Replantation of knocked out tooth after traumatic avulsions."
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	For what reason have been made panoramic radiographs to assess the affected area (Figure 4.2), if the periapical radiographs (which in addition to promoting better visualization and generate a lower radiation dose) had already been made? I suggest the removal of panoramic radiographs.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the: Line 163 - correct the word compared (caompared) Line 91 - change (did not able) for was not able Line 92 - remove the ; signal and replace by comma Line 110 - correct the word ankylosis (alkalosis) Line 212 remove the number 1 in the number 188 Line 217 remove the numbers 1 and 3 in the number 1351 Line 191 remove o number 3 in the number 384 Line 194 remove o number 4 in the number 488	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A very instructive article, which certainly will help clinicians to conduct such cases	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcos Cezar Ferreira
Department, University & Country	Universidade Severino Sombra, Faculdade de Odontologia de Vassouras, Brazil