

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research	
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJMMR_17374	
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of a Delay Following Warm-up on the Heart Rate Response to Sudden Strenuous Exercise	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

# **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



# SDI Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Poviowor's commont	Author's commont (if a gread with reviewer
		action 5 comment (i) ugreed with reviewer,
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that
		authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION	Firstly group size is small to reach a reliable conclusion, secondly	
comments	this study did not give any result.	
	Nobody had any ECG changes, so how could authors say that warm-	
	up and/or delay after warm-up is beneficial for protecting heart	
	against oxygen demand-supply mismatch.	
	This paper only says that warm-up group had higher heart rates	
	than delay after warm-up group who had higher HR than	
	nonwarm-up group. This data does not give us any knowledge	
	about oxygen supply of heart. Actually exercise time was short (15	
	second). If exercise time was prolonged, perhaps nonwarm-up	
	group would reach heart rates same as warm-up group. In a short	
	neriod heart rate could rise to a certain extent. Similarly delay	
	after warm-up group had higher initial heart rates than nonwarm-	
	un group, not surprisingly, so their heart rates remained higher	
	up group, not surprisingly, so then near trates remained ingher	
	along the short exercise period of 15 seconds. Results does not	
	meet the aims of the study, organization of the study was week,	
	results does not have any scientific value.	
Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b>		
comments		

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Dicle University Medicine Faculty, Turkey