



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_3620
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatoprotective effect of <i>Drynaria quercifolia</i> fronds hydroalcoholic extract and isolated constituent against CCl₄-induced hepatocellular damage

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1, How was the dose of the extracts selected in vivo experiments? Why only one dose used for CCl4 extract, EA traction and n-BuOH fraction?</p> <p>2, The structure of the manuscript is confused and the paper should be re-edited carefully.</p> <p>3, So many wrong spellings and expressions in the paper.</p> <p>4, In acute study, was the dose of 2000 mg/kg suitable? And how to select it?</p> <p>5, Some references should be provided for identification of the compound Dq-4.</p> <p>6, The chemical structure of Dq-4 should be deleted.</p> <p>7, Figure 4 is not necessary.</p> <p>8, The authors should check the style of the references carefully.</p> <p>9, The title is too long and should be re-edited.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	No	
Optional/General comments	No	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer