



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJPR_3698
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacterial endophytes of the medicinal herb <i>Hygrophila spinosa</i> T. Anders and their antimicrobial activity

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check the formulae and its description in the section: diversity of endophytes 2. In introduction its written apigenin-7-glucoside, it should be apigenin-7-O-glucoside 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arjun Patra
Department, University & Country	Institute of Pharmacy, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya (A Central University), Bilaspur(C.G.), India